G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L.R. 17-1889

1890-1891

~~191~~ .

(L.R.)

File No. 19

Serial Nos.

Later references.

L.R. 52-1893

L.R. 302-04-5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 11 (15)

* Part B for August 1892.

SUBJECT.

Claim of Ambidas Narasanna for Inam lands for his Chatram at Birur, Kadur Taluk.

W D 58—GPB—50,000—24-7-16



(7)a

Memo:

Docket No 38 of the 24th April 1891 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District.

It will be seen from the papers submitted by the Deputy Commissioner that the total assessment (viz. Rs 298) of the land granted to Cundan Narsanna for the maintenance of the Cuttram built by him at Birur represents not only the Survey valuation of the land but also the irrigation cess

merged therein. Narasamma therefore objects to pay separate irrigation cess on the full amount of assessment of the land as directed in para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 6269 / 192 of the 2nd September 1890, in addition to the cess already merged in the Survey valuation, and begs that the total amount of the cess (Rs 17-8-0) may be deducted from the assessment of the land and a moiety thereof remitted in his favor as prayed.

2. The Survey Superintendent to whom a reference was made by the Deputy Commissioner ~~in the~~

Canarese Yadi
No 1553 of 13th March/91

~~Subject~~ gives no definite opinion and leaves the matter for the Deputy Commr's disposal.
3. Under the circumstances of the case, it may not perhaps be desirable to interfere with the valuations put upon the land by the Survey Department, as requested by Narasamma, but the question whether he should pay irrigation cess on the full amount of assessment, notwithstanding that the cess is merged in such assessment requires reconsideration. It may therefore be reasonable to levy from him separate irrigation cess on

Rs

Rs 149 (the amount allowed to him as Inam) and also set apart 1/17th of the Jodi amount (Rs 8-12-3) towards the irrigation fund as required by Rule XVII of the Revised Survey Instructions issued under Notification No. 341 of the 11th February 1875. (Vide page 161 of the Revenue Manual)-

Submitted for orders.

D.D.

29/5 Camp

Asst

The point raised in this case as it does a question of both one & two annas is comparatively small importance and hope having views on it the Govt. desire to be furnished with the Deputy's remarks & opinion on the claim to more favourable terms as to Jodi as advanced by Para-Sanna in his letter dated 20th ultimo. He will then be addressed by Govt. with the papers & the Deputy's No. 33 of 22nd last will be sent also for record.

Drafts
AK 17/9/91

Relating to same
Inam case
The ~~Bandarkhand~~
Alluded to in paragraph 1 of letter is evidently that of Nuggi Mukki Mallayya which formed the subject of Govt. Order No. 2245 of 22 May last.

16/9/91

(16)

Memo:

Docket No. 84 dated 16th May 1892 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District.

In the above docket, the Deputy Commissioner solicits early orders on the question of the levy of irrigation cess on the assessment of lands granted to Amildars Narasanna, as Inam, below the Bankenkere tank in the Kadur Taluk for the upkeep of the Cha[illegible] raised in his letter No. 38 of the 21st April 9[illegible] built by him at Birur[illegible] of the 24th April [illegible]

2. In the Pr[illegible] noted in t[illegible]

I do not agree with the draft. Please see my note put up.

28/7/92

the grant to Anildas Narasanna, on half assessment, of 74 acres of land below the Barkanker bank, was sanctioned for the maintenance of the Chattram built by him at Birur, and in Inam 2 of Pro: No 6264/192 of the 2nd September 20, the levy of local & irrigation cesses on the full amount of assessment was also ordered.

Rs 149, the full assessment being Rs 298/-.

3. Anildas Narasanna complained that on the irrigation cess had been merged in the Survey valuation (Rs 298) of lands granted to [redacted] was not liable [redacted] same separately [redacted] therefore the total

total amount of the cess might be deducted from the assessment of the land and a moiety thereof remitted in his favour. ~~In the letter~~. Nanasanna added that if the same lands had been given out on full assessment, the irrigation cess could not have been levied separately.

4. In the letter cited in para 1 above, the Deputy Commissioner recommended Nanasanna's prayer being granted.

5. Just before the issue of final orders in the matter, Nanasanna requested for a fur[redacted] reduction of the [redacted] -ment (Jodi) on [redacted] granted to him for [redacted]

of the Chattrum built by him at Birur and on the Dr Commissioners report, the Jodi was reduced to Rs 118/-

6. The Dr Commissioner now reopens the question of the levy of irrigation cess from Nanjanad, without reference to Rule XVII of the Revised Survey Guarantee issued under Government Pro: No 3786-95 101 dated 18th September 1897 which distinctly lays down that 1/17th of the Survey valuation of all wet lands held in Inam, whether in Govt villages or in whole Inam villages, should be collected solely from and in addition to the quit-rent payable on such lands.

Rs.
Full assessment — 298
Jodi to ~~dismissed~~ 180
Jodi ~~of~~ 118

Vide Pro: No 2016-8 dt 4th May/92
49

lands.

7. In the present case, the Jodi-pagulela by Narasanna having been fixed, no further concession in the shape of a ~~reccession~~ reduction of the irrigation cess merged in the Survey valuation can be granted to him.

Submitted for orders.

V. R.

7/7

Submitted.

The liability of the Inam to payment of Irrigation Cess is undisputed. The full assessment of the Inam being Rs. 298, the amount of Irrigation Cess liable is $\frac{298}{17}$ = Rs 17. 8. 6.

The full assessment is made up of Rs. 280 . 7 . 6. Value of the

+ 17 . 8. 6.

Total 298 . 0 .

* at one anna in the rupee of the assessed value.

∴ $\frac{1}{16}$ of Rs 280 . 7 . 6

The Dy. Commr. may be referred to para 2 of the orders of 3rd Septr 1890, with the observation that the complaint of Navasanna is not intelligible. Mysre

Sd/- H Connell (Rev)

The question is whether 1/17th or 1/16th of the assessment should be levied as Irrigation Cess in a case in which wet land is given as Inam by Govt for the upkeep of Chelluram newly constructed. The assessment being such that if it were dry land the irrigation cess is merged in the assessment. The amount involved is thus (1/16 - 1/17 =) 1/272 part of the assessment of the actual amount in this case (the assessment being Rs 298) Rs 1-1-6. According to which letter of the Govt payable [illegible] According to another it is 2/17th. The [illegible] contends for [illegible] Govt reject the claim [illegible]

XXX

16

Note

The Irrigation case charged must be 1/17 of the asst,

but I do not think that the claim of ~~Petitioner~~ Petitioner is merely for ~~a Pattee~~. — He apparently wants the Irrigation case to be divided between the Govt ~~&~~ paid & the Inam enjoyed. —

The difference between 1/17 & 1/16. —

The ~~Agent~~ of Govt ~~order~~ who paid the Govt at Rs 11.8 is to give the Inamdar the same allowance as has been given in the Case of the Chairman at Harcharpur. — The Inam granted for the latter was 200 − 20 = 180, & the Inam granted to the Petitioner is Rs 298 − 118 = 180. — The

Assessment in
both the cases
includes irrigation
cases as shown below

	Acres	Irrigable Acres	Assessment
First Case	298	17-8-6	280-7-3
2nd Case	200	11-15-9	188-0-3

The Irrigable acreage
in both cases have
been virtually

	Acres	Irrigable Acres	Assessment
First case	280-7-3	~~188~~ 118	162-7-3 ~~180-7-6~~
2nd Case	188-0-3	20	168-0-3

To make the both
the grounds equal
we have to reduce the
land in the 1st case by
No 5-9-0-0 This
will make the two
grounds equal as shown
below. ——

	Acres	Irrigable Acres	Assessment
First case	280-7-3	112-7-0	168-0-3
2nd Case	188-0-3	20	168-0-3

The land must be
reduced accordingly

& it seems best that
the shares pay 1/17
of the fuel cost on
an irrigation case.-

Draft-
Secretary
please
MC
1

MC
28/7/92

Very Respt
[illegible]

Draft Letter
[illegible]
9/8

P.S.

But there be some
irregularity even with
the settlement I have
proposed for, deducting
the local cases from
the Nat. Exam, the
report will be unequal
in ~~both~~ the two cases as
given below—

	Nat. Exam	Local Exam	Nat. Exam
1st Case	168-0-3	17-8-6	150-7-9
2d Case	168-0-3	11-15-6	156-4-9

But we need not take
this into consideration,
as the local exam in
theory is a tax on
the profits which vary
in proportion to the
area & cost of the land.

MC

Extract from Pro: No 3786-95 / 101 dt 18th September/87.

XVII The Irrigation Cess will similarly merge in the future Survey valuation of all wet lands held in Inam whether in Government villages, or in whole Inam villages and 1/17th of such valuation will be collected similarly to a Jodi, but under the designation of "Equivalent of the Irrigation Cess" - Separately from and in addition to the Quit-rent leviable on such lands or villages, and be carried to the account of the "District Irrigation Fund". But such wet lands in whole Inam villages as may derive their irrigation exclusively from a tank or other source of irrigation situated wholly within such villages shall not be liable to pay any Irrigation Cess or the equivalent thereof to Government.

Provided that, for the purpose of fixing the amount of Irrigation Cess, the Survey Department shall ascertain and record the assessment of such wet lands in Inam villages as may be irrigated by a Government irrigation work. And provided further that the Survey valuation of all Inam or other wet lands shall include any separate water rate which may be imposed thereupon.

Extract from the
Revised Survey Guarantee

(General Serial No. 31).

1891.

From

24.4.91.

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt. of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore.

Despatched 24th April/91.

Received

Enclosures No. Kan. Corrs.

General No. 38
No.

Forwarding correspondence with the Survey Superintendent regarding the grant of wet waste land below the Bankanthe Bank on half assessment and recommending for favorable consideration the objection raised by Narsanna to pay Irrigation Cess.

Received
29/4/91

Reed
1-5-91 Mercs
Submitted
29/5

131
1-5-91

No. 38 Cir. Kan. Corrs.

Section (b)

Deputy Commrs. Office -
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 24th April/9

(1)

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 6269/192 dated 2nd September 1899, I have the honor to forward for consideration and orders of Government the enclosed correspondence ending with the Survey Superintendents No. 92 dated 23rd March/99.

2. Nardanna who has been granted 7¼ acres of wet waste land below the Bankere Tank on half assessment for the maintenance of the channel built by him at Birur, objects to pay Irrigation Cess on the full assessment of the land on the ground that this cess is already included in the wet assessment fixed by the Survey Department and adds that if the same lands had been given to any other person on dumbhast, no irrigation Cess would have been levied.

Nardanna's objection appears to me to be reasonable and I therefore recommend it for the favorable consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant
H H Parker
Deputy Commr.

Copied by [illegible]

(General Serial No. 31).

5063
2[illegible] AUG. 9
1891

From

[illegible]ion
[illegible] No

[illegible]'S OFFICE,
AGALUR.
23:8:91

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Durwar~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated 23d

Despatched 21th August 1891.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 284
No.

Has the honor to refer to the Office No. 851 dated 23d April 1891 regarding the levy of irrigation cess on the assessment of lands granted to Narasanna as Inam below Bartan Kere.

Has the honor to request the favor of an early reply to the above as Narasanna is stated to have withheld payment of the amount pending the settlement of the question.

[illegible] Lt.
By: Commissr.

Refers as
28/8/91

9.

[illegible]
21
28/8/91

b.d with refers Marsh
Ou There was a petition (Canara)
8/9/91 from Narasanna on this
subject when I sent with the Mice
I think on the 2d or 3d instant for
reference. I remember that he first came in
petition with a similar case in
the Kolhar taluk alluded to in the
end enquired if written. The Case
years - ([illegible] that in the latter
see the [illegible] (Mallesya) Send
letter [illegible] here in camp
[illegible] Monday or Tuesday

Sir
Refer to Govt
[illegible]
14/9/91

Mr Under ordered
28-8-91
Asst.

which formed the Subject of orders Contained in Proceedings No $\frac{2245}{22}$ of 22nd May last

3. The Vernacular papers received with Deputy Commissioners No 38 of 24th April last is herewith forwarded for needful reference & return with his reply.

S.D.

Chf Secy

17/9/91

9 p62

R.

1891. 92

From the Asst Commr No. 343 dy 29/9/91
Rec'd 27-11-91

From The D.C. Kadur Dt.

Dated 23 September 1891.

Rec'd 18

No 7165
139.

Reqd to be furnished with the D.C's remarks & opinion on the claim to more favorable terms as to jodi yeas advanced by Narasamma, Amildar

References connected with this Petn submitted already vide Slip from the Current Records enclosed—

Papers relating to one Inadlesa[illegible] se in the Koppa Taluk Kadur

[illegible] No 4-9-90

Serial No 4

7/9/91

Memdum Wh Clerk's memo 16/9/91

(General Serial No. 32.)

189/ (6) C.

No. 1149 (10) C.

Name of Petitioner. Anuldar Nar

Village.

Taluk. Nanjangudu

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 20-8-91

Date of Receipt. 21-do-

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Complains of the heav[y] of the Jodi amount[ing] on the land granted to as Inam below Bantha Kere tank for the Inam[dar] nance of the Chattram by him at Buvvi—

The Jodi payable by him is half the assessment the land which amount to Rupees 149 besides ho & irrigation Cess on fu assessment which a[mo]u to Rs 37-4-; the tota to be paid by him is there[fo]r Rs 186-4 the amount

ams out of the full
assment ~~of~~ Rs 298 after
ing the above for ~~it~~
eely meets the cost of
entenance.
nder the old rules of
e the for imposed on
ular grants ~~of~~ was
of the land assessment
hich was payable in $\frac{1}{3}$ d
the 3 d & $\frac{2}{3}$ ds in the 4th year
full from the 5th year -
ays that this case was
dealt with in the same
manner as that of a certain indivi
ual in the Kaira Talukā
who was also granted Inam
er a clothing in the above
ems

Presented in person
21 Aug 91
Superintendent of the Case relating to the
The file relating to the
Case

Current Records as 25/8/91

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
26 AUG. 91

Received 10-9-91

Reg.

1849 — 186-4 — 37-4 —

111-12 —

18-11

288

ಕಲಂ 2 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಪ್ಪಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ

ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 298 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು

(General Serial No. 30).

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
6274
5 OCT. 91
P. 24

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KADUR 27.9.91

File No
Serial No

From

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 29th September

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 343
No.

Chief Secretary's Docket No. 7165/139 dated 18th Instant.

Has the honor to state that Amildar Narasamma's petition Registered No: 1149 dated 20th August 1891 referred to in the above, as having been forwarded, has not been received in this office, and to request that it may be forwarded.

Col.

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

Petition
Let the Kuru Revenue Officer call for explanation
2/10/91

Sir,

C. Secy's Docket No. 7165/139 was not opened from the Petition Branch. K.G. 6-10-91

5-10-91

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE OF TH
7383
10 NOV. 91
372
DEWAN OF MYSOR

1891

From 10

(11)



To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 4/11/1892

Despatched 7th Nov 1891

Received

Enclosures No. ver: Corres:

General No. 395

No.

Reporting the special concessions granted to Narsamma's Chuttram at Birur and Malle-Shaiya's Chuttram at Haribarpur and recommending for favorable consideration of Govt Narsamma's application about the jodi to be fixed on the lands granted for the maintenance of his Chuttram at Birur.

Dy Secretary

Dy Secretary

9/14/91

Ag 11-11-91

Ag 10-11-91

Rec'd 4/5/92

Endorsement No. 2016-49 dated 3/5/92

Kadir District,
Deputy Commr's Office,
6th November 1891.

No 395

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras